

Item No. 3.2	Classification: Open	Date: 26.11.03	Meeting Name: Council Assembly
Report title:		Deputation Request : Parking Issues and Anti-Social Behaviour, Muscatel Place SE5	
Ward(s) or groups affected:		All	
From:		Chief Executive (Borough Solicitor & Secretary)	

RECOMMENDATION

1. That Council Assembly decide whether or not to receive the deputation and should it agree to do so, at which meeting it should be received.

BACKGROUND

2. A request for a deputation has been received from Ms B Douglas-Blake of Muscatel Place, SE5
3. The deputation states:-

“I am writing on behalf of the residents of Muscatel Place to request an opportunity to put forward a deputation to the full Council Assembly scheduled to meet on the 26th November 2003. Our representative spokesperson would wish to speak about the problems facing residents at Muscatel Place both with regards to parking issues, anti-social behaviour and the Council’s inability to take positive action to resolve these problems.”

KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION

4. In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 3.7 (3) the request that a deputation be received stands referred to Council Assembly to decide whether or not it wishes to receive the deputation.

Council Procedure Rule 3.7 (9) sets out the procedures to be observed at Council Assembly meetings:-

Composition of Deputations

The deputation shall consist of no more than six persons, including its spokesperson.

Speech on Behalf of the Deputation

Only one member of the deputation shall be allowed to address the Council Assembly, her or his speech being limited to 5 minutes.

Questions

Members of the Council Assembly may ask questions of the deputation which shall be answered by their spokesperson or any member of the deputation

nominated by her or him, for up to 5 minutes at the conclusion of the spokesperson's address.

Debate

At the conclusion of the questions, the deputation may remain (subject to any resolution excluding attendance of the public) but shall take no further part in the proceedings.

SUPPLEMENTARY ADVICE FROM OTHER OFFICERS

Comments of the Strategic Director of Regeneration

5. A deputation was heard by the Camberwell Community Council at its meeting held on 16th October. The deputation highlighted the problems that residents were experiencing owing to anti social parking in Muscatel Place.
6. As a result of the representations the decision of the Community Council was as follows:

AGREED:

"That the Community Council supports the deputation made by Southwark and London Diocesan Housing Association's residents requesting that double yellow lines are placed in Muscatel Place and that all funding options are explored in order to do this."

7. In addition to this deputation there was also on the agenda a petition from people in Dalwood Street opposed to the introduction of the yellow lines. They did not attend the meeting of 16th October to state their case.
8. The statutory consultation regarding the introduction of "at any time" waiting restrictions (double yellow lines) commenced on 30th October. As a result of this an objection has been received. As the Traffic Management Order relating to the yellow line is being introduced under experimental powers the Council does not have to consider these objections for up to 18 months. However it is practice to review the objections, if they cannot be resolved, within 6 months. In order to facilitate this a report will be made to the Camberwell Community Council.
9. Officers are awaiting a response from London Diocesan Housing regarding that body making a contribution to the cost of introducing the double yellow lines.

BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Background Papers	Held At	Contact
Deputation Request File	Town Hall, Peckham Road, London SE5 8UB	Kevin Flaherty 020 7525 7236

APPENDIX A

Audit Trail

Lead Officer	Ian Millichap, Constitutional Team Manager	
Report Author	Kevin Flaherty, Constitutional Team	
Version	Final	
Dated	14.11.03	
Key Decision?	No	
CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES / EXECUTIVE MEMBER		
Officer Title	Comments Sought	Comments Included
Strategic Director of Regeneration	Yes	Yes
Executive Member	Yes	
Date final report sent to Constitutional Support Services	14/11/03	